

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,782	2	07/03/2003	Patrizio Mattei	21159	6985
151	759	90 04/06/2006		EXAM	INER
HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE INC. PATENT LAW DEPARTMENT				TRUONG, TAMTHOM NGO	
340 KINGSLAND STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NUTLE	Y, NJ	07110		1624	
				DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/613,782	MATTEI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tamthom N. Truong	1624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M	arch 2006.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8 and 10-18</u> is/are pending in th	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8 and 10-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1,4,5,8,10-12 and 14 is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) 2,3,7,13 and 15-18 is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>2,3,7,13 and 15-18</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	, ,,,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	cu.					
Attachmout(a)							
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	∧ □ •	(DTO 442)					
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	•					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/613,782

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment of 03-03-06 has been fully considered.

- The deletion of the limitation of "R⁵ and R⁶ together with the N atom to which they are attached form a 5 to 10 membered...heterocyclic ring..." has overcome the previous 103 rejection based on **Breu et. al.** (WO'488).
- Thus, the previous 103 rejection is withdrawn herein.

Claims 6 and 9 are cancelled.

Claims 1-5, 7, 8 and 10-18 are pending.

An update search yields a new reference which necessitates new ground of rejection.

Therefore, the finality of the previous action is withdrawn herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/613,782 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 1. Claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 10-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Ife et. al.** (US 5,064,833 or US'833). On column 24 of US'833, Example 38 discloses a compound called 2-(2-methylphenylamino)-4-piperidinoquinazoline hydrochloride. The disclosed compound is similar to the claimed formula I having A as a 6-membered heterocyclic ring. Said compound differs from the closest claimed compound by being unsubstituted vs. the claimed variable R^1 , and by having a substituted amino at the 2-position (corresponding to R^2). However, Ife et. al. teaches H as well as other groups can be present on the benzo portion of the quinazoline nucleus as can be seen in the definitions of R^1 - R^4 including hydroxyl, alkoxy, amino groups, and the definitions for R^7 and R^8 include hydrogen, C_{1-4} alkyl, $(CH_2)_n Ar^I$. See column 1, lines 25-55.

The claimed ring A corresponds to the reference's -NR⁵R⁶ in which R⁵, R⁶ together with nitrogen can form a saturated or unsaturated heterocyclic ring.

The definition of the reference's R^1 - R^4 includes hydrogen, amino, C_{1-6} alkylamino, diC_{1-6} alkylamino and hydroxyl. Such an equivalent teaching would provide motivation to replace hydrogen with amino, C_{1-6} alkylamino, diC_{1-6} alkylamino or hydroxyl, which is a group represented by the claimed R^1 (or $-O-R^4$, or $-N(R^5)(R^6)$).

Application/Control Number: 10/613,782 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

As for the substituent at the 2-position, the disclosed generic formula (I) has $-NR^7R^8$ wherein R^7 and R^8 each represents *hydrogen*, $C_{1-4}alkyl$, $(CH_2)_nAr^l$. Thus, there is an equivalent teaching for an unsubstituted *amino* group and a substituted *amino* group.

Claim 12 is also rejected herein since pyrrolidine is clearly contemplated at the 4-position. See in particular claim 1.

The compounds are used to treat excessive gastric acid secretion. Thus, with the equivalent teaching provided, one would have been motivated to select compounds of the claimed formula I wherein:

- i. A is a heterocyclic ring including piperidino, pyrrolidino, etc.;
- ii. R¹ is hydroxy or amino, and
- iii. R^2 is an amino.

Said compounds would have been expected to be able to treat excessive gastric acid secretion as well.

Therefore, at the time that the invention was made, it would have been obvious to select and make compounds of the claimed formula I in view of the teaching above.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 2, 3, 7, 13 and 15-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,782 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

a. Claims 2 and 3 are directed to quinazoline compounds of formula I with R² as an alkyl (or methyl) group.

- b. Claims 7 and 13 are directed to quinazoline compounds of formula I with R⁴ as an aralkyl or a heterocyclylalkyl group.
- c. Claims 15-18 are directed to a method of treating obesity, or a pharmaceutical composition comprising *orlistat*.

The prior art of record does not teach or fully suggested said compounds, method of treatment or pharmaceutical composition.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamthom N. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-0676. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tamthom N. Truong

BERNUARDT Nilson)
BURNARDT Nilson)
BURNARDNER

Examiner Art Unit 1624

3-31-06